

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/774,084

Applicant(s)

BOGA ET AL.

Examiner

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Art Unit

1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence D. Ferguson.

(3) _____

(2) Patrick Stiennon.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 15-34.

Identification of prior art discussed: All.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to incorporate the limitations from claims 18, 24 and 26 into independent claim 16 and incorporate the limitations from claims 31 and 33 into independent claim 30 and cancel claims 15, 17, 18, 24, 26, 31 and 33 in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lawrence D Ferguson/
Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794